an enlarging ratio relative to the thumbnail image. This generating part generates an enlarged image corresponding to the enlarging ratio.

In connection with this claimed subject matter, the Office Action refers to the zoom function described in paragraph [0060] of the *Parulski* publication, as well as Figure 8B, item 422. It is respectfully submitted that this portion of the patent does not disclose the claimed subject matter.

First, the claim recites that the request from the portable terminal contains "an enlarging ratio relative to said thumbnail image". There is no disclosure in the *Parulski* publication indicating that, when the user selects the zoom function, for example by activating the icon 512 (Figure 9), a request containing an enlarging ratio is sent from the portable terminal. Rather, the publication merely suggests that a zoom request is sent from the portable phone. There is no teaching that this request specifies an enlarging ratio.

Second, claim 5 recites that the image generating part is responsive to the request "for generating an enlarged image corresponding to said enlarging ratio relative to said thumbnail image". In describing step 422, the *Parulski* publication does not disclose that an enlarged image is "generated" which corresponds to an enlarging ratio. Rather, paragraph [0060] states that "the higher resolution JPEG 2000 image data components for a 'larger' central area of the image are transmitted to photo phone 12..." (emphasis added). When viewed in conjunction with paragraph [0058], the patent discloses that this image is a pre-existing image that is already stored in the higher resolution format. Consequently, when a zoom request is received, the system does not "generate" an enlarged image. There is no disclosure that an enlarged image corresponding to an enlarging ratio contained in

the request is generated. Rather, the system merely retrieves and transmits image

data that was previously stored.

In summary, therefore, the Parulski publication does not disclose that a

request containing an enlarging ratio relative to a thumbnail image is received from a

portable terminal. Nor does it disclose that, in response to such a request, an

enlarged image is generated that corresponds to this enlarging ratio. It only

discloses that previously stored image data is retrieved and sent upon receiving the

zoom command. For at least these reasons, therefore, the Parulski publication does

not anticipate the subject matter of claim 5. For at least these same reasons, the

subject matter of claims 8, 13 and 14 is likewise not anticipated.

If the rejection of any of these claims on the basis of the *Parulski* publication is

not withdrawn, the Examiner is requested to explain how this publication is being

interpreted to disclose the above-noted features recited in the claims. In the

absence of such an explanation, it is respectfully submitted that proper support for

the rejection has not been established.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection, and allowance of all pending

claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

**BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC** 

Date: April 27, 2007

By:

James A. LaBarre

Registration No. 28632

P.O. Box 1404

Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

703 836 6620